510 Russell St. + Leslie, MI 49251 + (517) 589-8961

Sunday August 17, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the White Pine Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Keven Numinen for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.whitepineacademy.com or you may review a copy in the principal's office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a Focus School because the school has a large student achievement gap between the top 30% of highest achieving students and the bottom 30% of lowest achieving students across the tested subjects (mathematics, reading, science, social studies, and writing; as appropriate for the school grade levels tested). We are vigilantly working to address these student deficits by providing more intense individualized instruction to these students in the areas where there is a deficit. Information that is used to rank schools is based on the development of the Top to Bottom list of schools and their performance.

State law requires that we also report additional information.

PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

White Pine Academy students come from throughout the Mid-Michigan Area. As a Public School Academy, we are a school-of-choice building with open enrollment year round.

1. THE STATUS OF THE 3-5 YEAR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The School Improvement Team has written a comprehensive school improvement plan focused on raising student achievement in reading, writing, math, and science. The team analyzes data in each of these content areas and develops a strategic plan for accomplishing these goals.

2. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH SPECIALIZED SCHOOL

White Pine Academy is authorized by Saginaw Valley State University and opened in 1999. White Pine Academy serves grades Preschool through Eighth. White Pine Academy is located at 510 Russell Street in Leslie, Michigan.

White Pine Academy will prepare students for the global 21st century by promoting excellence in academics and healthy character growth and development.

3. IDENTIFY HOW TO ACCESS A COPY OF THE CORE CURRICULUM, A DESCRIPTION OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EXPLANATION OF THE VARIANCES FROM THE STATE'S MODEL

Copies of the core curriculum are available through the Principal's office. In addition, the curriculum can be viewed at the SVSU School University Partnership Office website at: http://www.svsu.edu/supo/curriculum-instruction-assessment.html.

The curriculum is aligned to the Michigan Department of Education Grade Level Content Expectations and the National Common Core Curriculum Framework. This is followed at each grade level.

4. THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS White Pine Academy Students continue to score at or above the State Average on the MEAP test (see results below representing the percent of students who meet or exceed proficiency on the MEAP assessment).

WHITE PINE - 3RD GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	72%	64%	71%	67%	53%
Math	33%	20%	14%	25%	60%

MEAP



WHITE PINE - 4RD GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	57%	71%	89%	64%	<10
Writing	NA	59%	63%	36%	<10
Math	22%	35%	37%	36%	<10

MEAP

WHITE PINE - 5TH GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	58%	40%	60%	77%	<10
Math	32%	7%	30%	41%	<10
Science	21%	7%	30%	23%	<10

MEAP

WHITE PINE - 6TH GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	53%	38%	< 10	< 10	79%
Math	13%	23%	< 10	< 10	32%
Social Studies	27%	15%	< 10	< 10	40%

MEAP

WHITE PINE - 7TH GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	14%	42%	< 10	< 10	<10
Writing	NA	33%	< 10	< 10	<10
Math	14%	42%	< 10	< 10	<10





WHITE PINE - 8TH GRADE

Subject	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Reading	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	<10
Math	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	<10
Science	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	<10



5. IDENTIFY THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STUDENTS REPRESENTED BY PARENTS AT PARENT-TEACHER CONFERENCES

For the 2013-2014 School Year White Pine Academy served 108 students. The percentage of parents representing students at Fall conferences was

90%, and the percentage of parents representing students at Spring conferences was 91%.

White Pine Academy is committed to staying true to our Mission Statement of preparing our students for the global 21st century by promoting excellence in academics, and healthy character growth and development. Our dedicated staff remains committed to this mission and will continue to strive to make sure all students are able reach their maximum potential. White Pine Academy will continue to be a "School of Excellence".

Sincerely,

Keven Numinen Principal



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	25%	25%	0%	25%	50%	25%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	40.1%	60%	60%	0%	60%	6.7%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	26.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	38.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	25%	25%	0%	25%	50%	25%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	46.6%	61.5%	61.5%	0%	61.5%	7.7%	30.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	39.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	40.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	26.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	22.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	36.4%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	36.4%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	52.9%	<10	<10	<10 A	<10 service of the Center	<10 for Educational Perf	<10 ormance and Infor



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	43.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	47.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	23.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	40.9%	40.9%	4.5%	36.4%	18.2%	40.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	38.1%	38.1%	4.8%	33.3%	19%	42.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2013-14	51.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	44.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	50%	50%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%	28.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10 or Educational Perfo	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	All Students	2013-14	41.5%	31.6%	31.6%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	42.1%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	White	2012-13	46.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	White	2013-14	47.6%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	27.8%	38.9%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Female	2012-13	38.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Female	2013-14	40.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	41.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Male	2013-14	42%	46.2%	46.2%	15.4%	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	24.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	25.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	13.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	14.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	All Students	2012-13	38.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	All Students	2013-14	39.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	7th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	25.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	White	2012-13	44.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	White	2013-14	45.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Female	2012-13	38.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Female	2013-14	39.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Male	2012-13	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Male	2013-14	38.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	22.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	23.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	9.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	34.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	All Students	2013-14	34.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	White	2012-13	40.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	White	2013-14	40.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	34.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Female	2013-14	33.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	34.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Male	2013-14	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	18.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	18.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	6.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	66.7%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	8.3%	25%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.3%	53.3%	53.3%	13.3%	40%	40%	6.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	66.7%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	8.3%	25%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	68.8%	53.8%	53.8%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	64.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	58.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	47.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	35.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	63.6%	63.6%	0%	63.6%	36.4%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	70%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	63.6%	63.6%	0%	63.6%	36.4%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	73%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	57.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	77.3%	77.3%	22.7%	54.5%	9.1%	13.6%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	76.2%	76.2%	23.8%	52.4%	9.5%	14.3%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2013-14	78.2%	<10	<10	<10 A	<10 service of the Center	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	85.7%	85.7%	28.6%	57.1%	0%	14.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.5%	78.9%	78.9%	31.6%	47.4%	10.5%	10.5%
Reading	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	White	2012-13	74.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	White	2013-14	77.7%	77.8%	77.8%	27.8%	50%	11.1%	11.1%
Reading	6th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2013-14	68.4%	76.9%	76.9%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	15.4%
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	56.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	32.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	33.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	All Students	2012-13	62%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	All Students	2013-14	60.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	White	2012-13	69.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	White	2013-14	67.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Female	2012-13	65.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Female	2013-14	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Male	2012-13	58.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Male	2013-14	58.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	47.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	45.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	65.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	All Students	2013-14	72.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	White	2012-13	71.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 8 of 31	8th Grade	White	2013-14	79.2%	<10	<10	<10 A se	<10 envice of the Center for	<10 or Educational Perfor	<10 mance and Informati



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	70.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Male	2013-14	70.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	60.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	26.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	22.7%	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	45.5%	31.8%
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	16.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	23.8%	23.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	33.3%
Science	5th Grade	White	2013-14	20.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	15.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	14.3%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	17.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science age 9 of 31	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	15.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	All Students	2013-14	19.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	White	2012-13	19.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	White	2013-14	24.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	13.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Female	2013-14	17.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	18%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Male	2013-14	22%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	7.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	9.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	3.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
--	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	--	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	55.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	59.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	56.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	50.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	46.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	41.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2013-14	58.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	White	2013-14	62.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2013-14	55.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	55.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	83.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	79.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	77.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	82.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	75.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students	District % Students	School % Students	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
以东京市				Proficient	Proficient	Proficient			

No Data to Display



MI-Access Participation

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students	District % Students	School % Students	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
				Proficient	Proficient	Proficient			

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	N/A	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.4%	62.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	District	Mathematics	98.3%	63.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	School	Mathematics	98.4%	62.5%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	98.3%	63.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	N/A	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	100%	91.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	<30	<30
White	District	Reading	100%	91.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	School	Reading	100%	91.7%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	91.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	N/A	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	District	Science	N/A	<30
White	District	Science	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	<30	<30
All Students	School	Science	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Science	N/A	<30
White	School	Science	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	N/A	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Vhite	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	N/A	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing		
White	District	Writing	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	<30	<30
All Students	School	Writing	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	N/A	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing		
White	School	Writing	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	94%
All Students	School	94%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
White Pine Academy	White Pine Academy	Focus School	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Red	0	Green	2	Yellow	18



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		9	2	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0	38 36 44 26 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities		Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	87	1.9	95	2.0
	Reading	73	3.7	90	2.5
8	Math	84	3.6	84	5.2
	Reading	76	3.3	83	4.0