510 Russell St. + Leslie, MI 49251 + (517) 589-8961

### 2011-2012 School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

Friday, August 17, 2012

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2011-2012 educational progress for White Pine Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by Federal and State laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Jared Vickers for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.whitepineacademy.com or you may review a copy from the Principal's office at your child's school.

For 2011-2012, White Pine Academy did make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and was named a Focus School because the school has a large student achievement gap between the top 30% of highest achieving students and the bottom 30% of lowest achieving students across the tested subjects (mathematics, reading, science, social studies and writing; as appropriate for the school grade levels tested). We are actively working to address the school issues by student deficit areas and providing individualized instruction to students in the areas where deficits are present. Information used to rank schools is based on the development of the Top to Bottom list of schools and their performance.

State law requires that we also report additional information.

- PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL
   White Pine Academy students come from throughout the mid-Michigan
   Area. As a Public School Academy, we are a school-of-choice building with open
   enrollment year round.
- 2. THE STATUS OF THE 3-5 YEAR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

  The School Improvement Team has written a comprehensive school improvement plan focused on raising student achievement in reading, writing, math, and science. The team analyzes data in each of these content areas and develops a strategic plan for accomplishing these goals.

### 3. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH SPECIALIZED SCHOOL

White Pine Academy is authorized by Saginaw Valley State University and opened in 1999. White Pine Academy serves grades Preschool through Eighth grade. White Pine Academy is located at 510 Russell St. in Leslie, Michigan.

White Pine Academy will prepare students for the global 21<sup>st</sup> century by promoting excellence in academics and healthy character growth and development.

We will achieve these goals by providing the best time-tested curriculum in the seven "core" subjects of: Mathematics, Science, Language Arts, Fine Arts, History, Geography, along with character education accomplished through standards of conduct and curriculum.

4. IDENTIFY HOW TO ACCESS A COPY OF THE CORE CURRICULUM, A DESCRIPTION OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EXPLANATION OF THE VARIANCES FROM THE STATE'S MODE!

Copies of the core curriculum are available through the Principal's office. In addition, the curriculum can be viewed at the SVSU School University Partnership Office website at:

(<a href="http://www/svsu.edu/supo/curriculum-instruction-assessment.html">http://www/svsu.edu/supo/curriculum-instruction-assessment.html</a>).

The curriculum is aligned with the Michigan Department of Education Grade Level Content Expectations and the National Common Core Curriculum and is followed at each grade level.

5. THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

White Pine Academy Students continue to score at or above the state average on the MEAP test (See results below representing the percent of students who meet or exceed proficiency on the MEAP Assessment).



### WHITE PINE - 3RD GRADE

Subject	2008	2009	2010	2011
Reading	55%	72%	64%	71%
Math	21%	33%	20%	14%



### WHITE PINE - 4RD GRADE

Subject	2008	2009	2010	2011
Reading	46%	57%	71%	89%
Writing	NA	NA	59%	63%
Math	36%	22%	35%	37%



### WHITE PINE - 5TH GRADE

Subject	2008	2009	2010	2011
Reading	39%	58%	40%	60%
Math	35%	32%	7%	30%
Science	17%	21%	7%	30%

MEAP

### WHITE PINE - 6TH GRADE

Subject	2008	2009	2010	2011
Reading	33%	53%	38%	56%
Math	17%	13%	23%	0%
Social Studies	28%	27%	15%	0%

MEAP

### WHITE PINE - 7TH GRADE

Subject	2008	2009	2010	2012
Reading	Na	NA	NA	NA
Writing	NA	NA	NA	NA
Math	NA	NA	NA	NA

MEAP



### WHITE PINE - 8TH GRADE

	N. I			The second secon
Subject	2008	2009	2010	2011
Reading	NA	NA	NA	NA
Math	NA	NA	NA	NA
Science	NA	NA	NA	NA

### MEAP

6. IDENTIFY THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STUDENTS REPRESENTED BY PARENTS AT PARENT-TEACHER CONFERENCES

During the 2010-2011 school year, we served 139 students. The percentage of students represented by parents was: 96% at the Fall Parent Teacher Conferences and 94% at the Spring Parent Teacher Conferences.

During the 2011-2012 school year, we served 115 students. The percentage of students represented by parents was: 97% at the Fall Parent Teacher Conference and 94% at the Spring Parent Teacher Conference.

White Pine Academy will continue to be committed to the founding principles of being a "School of Excellence", and to stay focused on high academic standards. Our academic accomplishments, coupled with a dedicated staff make White Pine Academy a wonderful place to learn and grow.

Sincerely,

Jared Vickers

Jaral Vicke

Principal

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	03	All Students	2010-11	100%	63.2%	64%	64%	8%	56%	16%	20%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	62.4%	71.4%	71.4%	0%	71.4%	21.4%	7.1%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	White	2010-11	100%	70.3%	62.5%	62.5%	4.2%	58.3%	16.7%	20.8%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	White	2011-12	100%	69.8%	71.4%	71.4%	0%	71.4%	21.4%	7.1%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Female	2010-11	<10	66.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Female	2011-12	<10	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Male	2010-11	100%	59.6%	50%	50%	5.6%	44.4%	22.2%	27.8%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Male	2011-12	<10	59%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	50.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	49.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	32.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	34.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	All Students	2010-11	100%	63.5%	70.6%	70.6%	17.6%	52.9%	29.4%	0%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	67.7%	89.5%	89.5%	10.5%	78.9%	5.3%	5.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	49.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	62.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	66.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	White	2010-11	100%	70.5%	66.7%	66.7%	13.3%	53.3%	33.3%	0%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	White	2011-12	100%	74.4%	88.9%	88.9%	5.6%	83.3%	5.6%	5.6%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Female	2010-11	100%	67.4%	84.6%	84.6%	23.1%	61.5%	15.4%	0%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Female	2011-12	<10	71.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Male	2010-11	<10	59.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Male	2011-12	100%	63.7%	84.6%	84.6%	7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	7.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	55%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	29.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	65.3%	40%	40%	6.7%	33.3%	40%	20%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	68.8%	60%	60%	30%	30%	20%	20%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	White	2010-11	100%	71.4%	40%	40%	6.7%	33.3%	40%	20%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	White	2011-12	100%	74.8%	60%	60%	30%	30%	20%	20%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Female	2010-11	<10	68.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Female	2011-12	<10	71.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Male	2010-11	100%	62.2%	20%	20%	10%	10%	50%	30%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Male	2011-12	<10	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	51.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	56.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	28%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	34.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	All Students	2010-11	100%	63.2%	38.5%	38.5%	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%
English Language Arts / Reading	06	All Students	2011-12	<10	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	43.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	White	2010-11	100%	70.7%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	25%	25%	41.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	06	White	2011-12	<10	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Female	2010-11	<10	66.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Female	2011-12	<10	70.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Male	2010-11	<10	59.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Male	2011-12	<10	63.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	48.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	23.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	06	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	28.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	All Students	2010-11	100%	55.6%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	25%	33.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	07	All Students	2011-12	<10	59.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	42.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	07	White	2010-11	100%	62.8%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%
English Language Arts / Reading	07	White	2011-12	<10	66.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Female	2010-11	<10	60.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Male	2010-11	<10	51.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Male	2011-12	<10	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	40.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	44.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	14.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	07	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	19%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	All Students	2010-11	<10	56%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	All Students	2011-12	<10	60.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	White	2010-11	<10	61.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	White	2011-12	<10	66.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Female	2010-11	<10	60.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Female	2011-12	<10	65.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Male	2010-11	<10	51.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Male	2011-12	<10	55.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	41.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	46.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	16.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	08	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	20.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	All Students	2010-11	100%	34.8%	20%	20%	0%	20%	20%	60%
Mathematics	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	36.3%	14.3%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	35.7%	50%
Mathematics	03	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	31.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	White	2010-11	100%	40.5%	20.8%	20.8%	0%	20.8%	20.8%	58.3%
Mathematics	03	White	2011-12	100%	42.3%	14.3%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	35.7%	50%
Mathematics	03	Female	2010-11	<10	33.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Female	2011-12	<10	34.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Male	2010-11	100%	36.3%	22.2%	22.2%	0%	22.2%	22.2%	55.6%
Mathematics	03	Male	2011-12	<10	37.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	21.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	23%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	17.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	18.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	All Students	2010-11	100%	39.6%	35.3%	35.3%	0%	35.3%	29.4%	35.3%

Student Assessment Data - Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	39.9%	36.8%	36.8%	5.3%	31.6%	10.5%	52.6%
Mathematics	04	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	25.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	37.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	38.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	White	2010-11	100%	46%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	26.7%	40%
Mathematics	04	White	2011-12	100%	46.4%	33.3%	33.3%	5.6%	27.8%	11.1%	55.6%
Mathematics	04	Female	2010-11	100%	37.8%	46.2%	46.2%	0%	46.2%	23.1%	30.8%
Mathematics	04	Female	2011-12	<10	38.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Male	2010-11	<10	41.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Male	2011-12	100%	41.2%	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	38.5%	7.7%	46.2%
Mathematics	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	25.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	25.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	18.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	38.5%	6.7%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	13.3%	80%
Mathematics	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	39.6%	30%	30%	10%	20%	10%	60%
Mathematics	05	White	2010-11	100%	44.2%	6.7%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	13.3%	80%
Mathematics	05	White	2011-12	100%	45.7%	30%	30%	10%	20%	10%	60%
Mathematics	05	Female	2010-11	<10	36.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Female	2011-12	<10	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Male	2010-11	100%	40.6%	10%	10%	0%	10%	10%	80%
Mathematics	05	Male	2011-12	<10	41.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	24.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	24.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	14.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	16%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	All Students	2010-11	100%	36.2%	23.1%	23.1%	0%	23.1%	23.1%	53.8%
Mathematics	06	All Students	2011-12	<10	37.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Page 7 of 30

Student Assessment Data - Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	06	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	15.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	22.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	White	2010-11	100%	41.9%	25%	25%	0%	25%	16.7%	58.3%
Mathematics	06	White	2011-12	<10	43%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Female	2010-11	<10	36.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Female	2011-12	<10	36.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Male	2010-11	<10	36.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Male	2011-12	<10	37.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	22%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	22.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	06	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	11%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	All Students	2010-11	100%	35.9%	41.7%	41.7%	0%	41.7%	8.3%	50%
Mathematics	07	All Students	2011-12	<10	37.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	22.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	White	2010-11	100%	42%	36.4%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	9.1%	54.5%
Mathematics	07	White	2011-12	<10	43.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Female	2010-11	<10	36%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Male	2010-11	<10	35.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Male	2011-12	<10	36.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	21.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	7.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	07	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	8.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	All Students	2010-11	<10	28.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	All Students	2011-12	<10	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Page 8 of 30

Student Assessment Data - Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	08	White	2010-11	<10	33.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	White	2011-12	<10	34.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Female	2010-11	<10	27.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Female	2011-12	<10	28%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Male	2010-11	<10	30.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Male	2011-12	<10	30.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	14.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	15.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	08	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	5.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	17.4%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	86.7%
Science	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	15.3%	30%	30%	20%	10%	20%	50%
Science	05	White	2010-11	100%	21.4%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	86.7%
Science	05	White	2011-12	100%	18.9%	30%	30%	20%	10%	20%	50%
Science	05	Female	2010-11	<10	15.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Female	2011-12	<10	13.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Male	2010-11	100%	19.1%	10%	10%	10%	0%	0%	90%
Science	05	Male	2011-12	<10	17%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	8.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	5.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	5.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	All Students	2010-11	<10	14.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
cience	08	All Students	2011-12	<10	16.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	White	2010-11	<10	18.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	White	2011-12	<10	20.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Female	2010-11	<10	12%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Female	2011-12	<10	13.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Page 9 of 30

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	08	Male	2010-11	<10	17.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Male	2011-12	<10	19%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	<10	6.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	7.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	3.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	08	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	3.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

### Student Assessment Data - Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

% Not Proficient (Level 4)
% Partially Proficient (Level 3)
% Proficient (Level 2)
% Advanced (Level 1)
School % Students Proficient
District % Students Proficient
State % Students Proficient
% Students Tested
School Year
Student Group
Grade
Subject

Student Assessment Data - MI-Access: Functional Independence

% Emerging (Level 3)
% Attained (Level 2)
% Surpassed (Level 1)
School % Students Proficient
State % Students District % Proficient Students Proficient
% Students Tested
School Year
Student Group
Grade
Subject

### Student Assessment Data - MI-Access : Supported Independence

	% Emerging (Level 3)
	% Attained (Level 2)
	% Surpassed (Level 1)
	School % Students Proficient
	District % Students Proficient
The second secon	State % Students D Proficient S
THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO ISSUES.	% Students Tested
	School Year
	Student Group
	Grade
	Subject

Annual Education Report

08/24/2012

## School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### Student Assessment Data - MI-Access: Participation

% Emerging (Level 3)	
% Attained (Level 2)	
% Surpassed (Level 1)	
School % Students Proficient	
State % Students District % Proficient Proficient	
% Students Tested	
School Year	
Student Group	
Grade	
Subject	

### Student Assessment Data - MEAP-Access

% Level 3
% Level 2
% Level 1
School % Students Proficient
State % Students District % Proficient Students Proficient
% Students Tested
School Year
Student Group
Grade
Subject

### AYP Detail Data

Student Group	Location	Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students	State	English Language Arts / Reading	98.8%	82.9%
All Students	State	Mathematics	98.5%	57.5%
All Students	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.4%	85.2%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.4%	49.4%
All Students	School	English Language Arts / Reading	98.4%	85.2%
All Students	School	Mathematics	98.4%	49.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	78.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	Mathematics	97.5%	47.4%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	English Language Arts / Reading	100.6%	89.8%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	Mathematics	99.3%	80.1%
Black or African American	State	English Language Arts / Reading	96.9%	67.4%
Black or African American	State	Mathematics	96.4%	32.2%
Black or African American	District	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Black or African American	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Black or African American	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Black or African American	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99%	75.8%
Hispanic or Latino	State	Mathematics	98.5%	44.6%
Hispanic or Latino	District	English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	District	Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	School	English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	School	Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	83.6%
Two or More Races	State	Mathematics	99%	54.9%
Two or More Races	District	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	86.9%
White	State	Mathematics	99%	63.9%
White	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.4%	84.4%
White	District	Mathematics	98.4%	48.1%
White	School	English Language Arts / Reading	98.4%	84.4%
White	School	Mathematics	98.4%	48.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	English Language Arts / Reading	98.3%	74.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	Mathematics	97.9%	43.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### AYP Detail Data

Student Group	Location	Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	<30	<30 ,
Students with Disabilities	State	English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	51.5%
Students with Disabilities	State	Mathematics	97.3%	32%
Students with Disabilities			<30	<30
Students with Disabilities	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30

Note: 1037 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### AYP Detail Data - Graduation Rate

Student Group	Location	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	State	79.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	68.49%
Asian	State	90.49%
Black or African American	State	62.56%
Hispanic or Latino	State	68.31%
Migrant	State	73.33%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	State	71.79%
Two or More Races	State	73.44%
White	State	84.81%
Female	State	83.17%
Male	State	75.45%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	67.16%
Students with Disabilities	State	64.79%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### AYP Detail Data - Attendance Rate

Student Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	State	94.8%
All Students	District	94.9%
All Students	School	94.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	94.1%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	96.4%
Black or African American	State	91%
Hispanic or Latino	State	94.3%
Hispanic or Latino	District	97.8%
Hispanic or Latino	School	97.8%
Two or More Races	State	95%
Two or More Races	District	94.8%
Two or More Races	School	94.8%
White	State	95.8%
White	District	94.8%
White	School	94.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	94.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	94.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	94.9%
Students with Disabilities	State	93.2%
Students with Disabilities	District	93.3%
Students with Disabilities	School	93.3%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

### Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Status Indicator
Yes	Met	Met	Met	С	Focus

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### Teacher Quality Data

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		11	4	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

Teacher Quality Data

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### Teacher Quality Data

	School Aggregate	
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

NAEP 2011 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male Female	50 50	21 22	42 45	31 29	6 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56	35 11	47 41	17 41	1 8
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	71 16 6 3	14 53 31 7 ‡ ‡ 23	45 39 48 22 ‡ ‡ 50	36 8 19 45 ‡ ‡ 21	5 0 2 26 ‡ ‡ 6
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	50 18	37 44	13 32	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	4 96	47 21	41 44	11 31	1 5

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met.
Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011
Mathematics Achievement.

08/24/2012 Annual Education Report

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

NAEP 2011 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	29	40	25	6
Male Female	51 49	28 30	39 41	26 24	7 5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	45 18	39 41	15 32	2 9
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1	22 66 26 13 ‡ ‡	43 26 41 25 ‡ ‡	29 7 18 31 ‡ ‡	6 0 5 32 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	70 25	23 41	5 27	1 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	57 29	27 40	7 25	10 6

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met.
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011
Mathematics Achievement.

08/24/2012 Annual Education Report

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

NAEP 2011 Grade 4 Reading Results

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	34	25	6
Male Female	50 50	38 31	33 36	24 26	6 7
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55	51 21	32 36	15 33	2 10
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	70 17 6 3 0	26 67 51 19 ‡ ‡ 36	37 24 29 33 ‡ ‡ 31	30 7 17 33 ‡ ‡ 19	7 1 3 15 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	73 30	17 36	8 27	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	67 33	26 35	7 25	0 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met.
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

### NAEP 2011 Grade 8 Reading Results

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	45	29	3
Male Female	50 50	28 18	47 43	24 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	35 14	46 44	18 37	0 4
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1	18 46 25 19 ‡ ‡	46 43 50 227 ‡ ‡	33 10 25 39 ‡ ‡	3 0 1 1 14 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	67 19	27 46	6 31	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	52 22	40 45	8 30	0 3

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

### School-Level Combined Reports Data for Ingham ISD, White Pine Academy, White Pine Academy

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	85	2.0	73	3.3
	Reading	75	3.1	93	2.4
8	Math	73	2.5	83	4.7
	Reading	63	3.3	79	4.5